arXiv:physics/0506109v1 [physics.chem-ph] 12 Jun 2005

Expressions for the Exchange Correlation Potential and Exchange–Correlation Functional of Kohn–Sham Density Functional Theory

James P. Finley*

Department of Physical Sciences, Eastern New Mexico University,
Station #33, Portales, NM 88130 and
Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering,
The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo,
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-8656 Japan

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

Abstract

The State–Specific Kohn–Sham Density Functional Theory [arXiv:physics/0506037] is used to derive the Kohn-Sham exchange-correlation potential $v_{\rm xc}$ and exchange-correlation functional $E_{\rm xc}$ as explicit functionals of v_s and φ_1, where v_s is the local, one-body potential from the Kohn–Sham equations, and φ_1 is the spinless one-particle density matrix from the Kohn–Sham noninteracting state, say $|\varphi_1\rangle$. In other words, $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is the ground state eigenfunction of the noninteracting Schrödinger equation with the one-body potential v_s. For simplicity, we only consider noninteracting states that are closed-shell states and interacting states that are nondegenerate, singlet ground-states.

---

*Electronic address: james.finley@enmu.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

The Kohn-Sham version of density functional theory plays a major role in both quantum chemistry and condensed matter physics [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Unfortunately, the exchange-correlation functional E_{xc} is an unknown, implicit functional, and there is no systematic method to improve approximations. Recently we have derived a generalization of the Kohn–Sham approach in which the correlation energy E_{co} is assumed to be an explicit functional of v and ρ_1, where v is the external potential from the interacting target-state, and ρ_1 is the spinless one-particle density matrix from the noninteracting states [8, 9]. In this approach, errors from Coulomb self-interactions do not occur, nor the need to introduce functionals defined by a constraint search. Furthermore, the exchange energy E_{x} is treated as in Hartree–Fock theory, as an explicit functional of ρ_1. Below, we use this approach to derive the Kohn-Sham exchange-correlation potential v_{xc} and exchange-correlation functional E_{xc} as explicit functionals of v_s and φ_1, where v_s is the local, one-body potential from the Kohn–Sham equations, and φ_1 is the one-particle density matrix from the Kohn–Sham noninteracting state, say $|\varphi_1\rangle$. In other words, $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is the ground state eigenfunction of the noninteracting Schrödinger equation with the one-body potential v_s.

II. STATE-SPECIFIC KOHN–SHAM DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY

In state-specific Kohn–Sham density functional theory [8, 9], we use an energy functional $E_v[\rho_1]$ that is assumed to be an explicit functional of the external potential v and the spinless one-particle density matrix ρ_1, where ρ_1 comes from a closed-shell determinantal state, say $|\rho_1\rangle$; this energy functional is given by

$$E_v[\rho_1] = \frac{\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}|\hat{H}_v|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle}, \tag{1}$$

where the trial wave function $\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}$ generates the exact, or target, wave function, say Ψ_n, under the following conditions:

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\varrho_1}\rangle = |\Psi_n\rangle; \quad \varrho_1 \longrightarrow n, \quad n \longrightarrow N, v, \tag{2}$$

where n is the electron density of Ψ_n, and Ψ_n is the ground state singlet eigenfunction of $\hat{H}_v$. The right side notation of Eq. (2) indicates that ϱ_1 is a spin-less one particle density matrix that delivers the density n; according to the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem [1, 2, 10], n also determines the external potential v, and n determines the number of electrons N. Using Eqs. (1) and (2), we have

$$E_v[\varrho_1] = \mathscr{E}_n, \quad \varrho_1 \longrightarrow n, \quad n \longrightarrow N, v. \tag{3}$$

Here, $\mathscr{E}_n$ is the exact electronic energy of the target state:

$$\hat{H}_v|\Psi_n\rangle = \mathscr{E}_n|\Psi_n\rangle; \quad n \longrightarrow N, v. \tag{4}$$

where the Hamiltonian operator is given by

$$\hat{H}_v = \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ee}} + \hat{V}_v, \tag{5}$$

and we have

$$\hat{T} = \sum_i^N (-\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2), \tag{6}$$

$$\hat{V}_{\text{ee}} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}^N r_{ij}^{-1}, \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{V}_v = \sum_i^N v(i). \tag{8}$$

The electronic energy functional can also be written as

$$E_v[\rho_1] = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\right]_{\mathbf{r}_2=\mathbf{r}_1} + \int d\mathbf{r}\, v(\mathbf{r})\rho_s(\mathbf{r}) + E_J[\rho_s] + E_{\text{x}}[\rho_1] + E_{\text{co}}[\rho_1, v] + \int d\mathbf{r}\, v(\mathbf{r})\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}), \tag{9}$$

where the Coulomb and exchange energies are given by the following:

$$E_J[\rho_s] = \frac{1}{2}\int\int r_{12}^{-1} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_1)\, \rho(\mathbf{r}_2), \tag{10}$$

$$-E_{\text{x}}[\rho_1] = \frac{1}{4}\int\int r_{12}^{-1} d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\, \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1), \tag{11}$$

and the correlation-energy functional is

$$E_{\text{co}}[\rho_1, v] = \frac{\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}|\hat{T}|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle} - \langle\rho_1|\hat{T}|\rho_1\rangle + \frac{\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}|\hat{V}_{\text{ee}}|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle} - \langle\rho_1|\hat{V}_{\text{ee}}|\rho_1\rangle. \tag{12}$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{\rho}_c$ is the correlation density of the trial wave function, i.e, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}|\hat{\Gamma}(\mathbf{r})|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle}{\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}|\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}\rangle} - \rho_s(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{n} - \rho_s(\mathbf{r}), \quad \tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1} \longrightarrow \tilde{n}, \quad \rho_1 \longrightarrow \rho_s, \tag{13}$$

and $\hat{\Gamma}$ is the density operator; $\tilde{n}$ is the density of $\tilde{\Psi}_{v\rho_1}$; ρ_s is the density of ρ_1. Since $\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r})$ is a functional of v and ρ_1, we can also write $\tilde{\rho}_c[\rho_1, v](\mathbf{r})$.

A determinantal state with the density matrix ϱ_1 satisfies the following noninteracting Schrödinger equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\varrho_1}(\mathbf{r}_i)|\varrho_1\rangle = 2\left(\sum_{w} \varepsilon_w\right)|\varrho_1\rangle, \tag{14}$$

where the generalized, or exact, Fock operator $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\varrho_1}$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\varrho_1} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v + v_J^n + \hat{v}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\varrho_1} + \hat{v}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\varrho_1} + \hat{v}_{\mathrm{ec}}^{\varrho_1}. \tag{15}$$

Here, the Coulomb operator is defined by

$$v_J^{\rho}(\mathbf{r}_1)\chi(\mathbf{r}_1) = \int d\mathbf{r}_2 r_{12}^{-1}\rho(\mathbf{r}_2)\chi(\mathbf{r}_1), \tag{16}$$

and the exchange operator $\hat{v}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\rho_1}$, correlation operator $\hat{v}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\rho_1}$ and external-correlation operator $\hat{v}_{\mathrm{ec}}^{\rho_1}$ are defined by their kernels:

$$v_{\mathrm{x}}^{\rho_1}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\delta E_{\mathrm{x}}[\rho_1, v]}{\delta\rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1)} = -\frac{1}{2}r_{12}^{-1}\rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2), \tag{17}$$

$$v_{\mathrm{co}}^{\rho_1}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\delta E_{\mathrm{co}}[\rho_1, v]}{\delta\rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1)}, \tag{18}$$

$$v_{\mathrm{ec}}^{\rho_1}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\delta\left(\int d\mathbf{r}_3\, v(\mathbf{r}_3)\tilde{\rho}_c(\mathbf{r}_3)\right)}{\delta\rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1)}. \tag{19}$$

Our energy functionals E_v are implicit functionals of the noninteracting density ρ_s. Hence, any one-particle density-matrix that yields the interacting density minimizes our energy functional, i.e., we have

$$\mathcal{E}_n = E_v[\varrho_1] = E_v[\varrho_1'] = E_v[\varrho_1''] \cdots, \tag{20}$$

where

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \varrho_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \varrho_1'(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \varrho_1''(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) \cdots. \tag{21}$$

Assuming n is a noninteracting v-representable density, there exist a noninteracting state, say $|\varphi_1\rangle$, that has n as its density:

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \varphi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}), \tag{22}$$

and this determinant—assuming it is a closed-shell determinant—is the ground-state solution of the following noninteracting Schrödinger equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{f}(\mathbf{r}_i)|\varphi_1\rangle = 2\left(\sum_{w}\epsilon_w\right)|\varphi_1\rangle, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\hat{f} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v_s, \tag{24}$$

and v_s is a local potential. Therefore, the canonical occupied orbitals from $|\varphi_1\rangle$ satisfy the following one-particle Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{f}\phi_w = \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v + v_J^n + v_{\mathrm{xc}}\right)\phi_w = \epsilon_w\phi_w, \ \ \phi_w \in \varphi_1, \tag{25}$$

where, with no loss of generality, we have required v_s to be defined by

$$v_s = v + v_J^n + v_{\mathrm{xc}}. \tag{26}$$

Using the approach by Sala and Görling [11], but permitting the orbitals to be complex, it is readily demonstrated that v_{xc} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2n(\mathbf{r})}\int d\mathbf{r}_1 \, [2w(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_1) - \varphi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_1)\int d\mathbf{r}_2 \, \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r})w(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) \\ + \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_1)v_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r}_1)]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where w is the kernel of the nonlocal potential $\hat{w}_{\rho_1}$, given by

$$\hat{w}_{\rho_1} = \hat{v}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\rho_1} + \hat{v}_{\mathrm{co}}^{\rho_1} + \hat{v}_{\mathrm{ec}}^{\rho_1}, \tag{28}$$

and these operators appear in the exact Fock operator $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\varrho_1}$, given by Eq. (15). By substituting v_{xc} repeatedly on the right side, we can obtain an expansion for v_{xc}:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2n(\mathbf{r})}[&2w(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_1) - \varphi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_1)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r})w(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) \\ &+ \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_1)\frac{1}{n(\mathbf{r}_1)}\{w(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_3,\mathbf{r}_1)w(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)\} \\ &+ \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r})\varphi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_1)\frac{1}{2n(\mathbf{r}_1)}\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)\frac{1}{n(\mathbf{r}_2)}w(\mathbf{r}_3,\mathbf{r}_2)\varphi_1(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3) + \cdots], \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where in this relation it is understood that there are integrations over the dummy variables $\mathbf{r}_1$, $\mathbf{r}_2$ and $\mathbf{r}_3$. The leading term of Eq. (29) is the Slater potential [12, 13, 14]; this term also appears within the Krieger–Li–Iafrate (KLI) approximation of the optimized potential method [14, 15, 16, 17].

The orbitals ϕ_w satisfying Eq. (25) are the Kohn–Sham orbitals [18]; $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is the Kohn–Sham noninteracting state. However, $\hat{f}$ differs from the Kohn–Sham operator, since, in addition to depending explicitly on φ_1, instead of n, $\hat{f}$ depends explicitly on the external potential v from the interacting Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_v$. Furthermore, the external-correlation operator $\hat{v}_{\rm ec}^{\rho_1}$ does not appear in Kohn–Sham formalism. And, unlike the original Kohn–Sham approach [18], the N-representability problem does not arise, nor the need to introduce a constraint-search definition [19, 20, 21, 22] to avoid this problem.

III. THE KOHN–SHAM EXCHANGE–CORRELATION POTENTIAL

According to Eqs. (28), (18), and (19), $\hat{w}_{\rho_1}$ is a functional of ρ_1 and v, indicating that we can, symbolically speaking, represent Eq. (29) by

$$v_{\rm xc}(\mathbf{r}) = v_{\rm xc}[\varphi_1, v](\mathbf{r}), \quad \varphi_1 \longrightarrow n \longrightarrow v. \tag{30}$$

In other words, $v_{\rm xc}$ is also a functional of v and φ_1, where φ_1 determines n, and n determines v.

Note that φ_1 and v from Eq. (30) are not independent. Since, for a given v, the one-particle density matrix φ_1 which determines $v_{\rm xc}$ from Eq. (30), and then gives v_s from (26), must also be the one-particle density matrix φ_1 from the noninteracting state $|\varphi_1\rangle$ that satisfies Eq. (23). However, for a given φ_1 or v_s, we can also think of v as a dependent variable to be determined. In that case, we choose v_s, construct $\hat{f}$ using Eq. (24), and obtain the one-particle density matrix, say φ_1, that satisfies Eq. (23). The external potential v is then a simultaneous solution of Eqs. (26) and (30).

Substituting Eq. (30) into Eq. (26), gives

$$v = v_s - v_J^n - v_{\rm xc}[\varphi_1, v], \quad N, v_s \longrightarrow \varphi_1 \longrightarrow n \longrightarrow N, v, v_s, \tag{31}$$

where the notation on the right side indicates that N and v_s determine φ_1, as indicated by Eqs. (23) and (24); also, φ_1 determines n; n determines N, v, and v_s.

By substituting v on the right side of Eq. (31) repeatedly, as in Eq. (29), we can remove it, giving, symbolically speaking,

$$v = v[\varphi_1, v_s], \tag{32}$$

Hence, this relation gives the external potential v from the interacting system Ψ_n, for $n \longrightarrow v$, as a functional of the local potential v_s and the one-particle density matrix φ_1 from the noninteracting state $|\varphi_1\rangle$, where $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is an eigenfunction of the noninteracting Hamiltonian given by Eq. (23), and this noninteracting Hamiltonian is defined by the local potential v_s, as indicated by Eq. (24). Furthermore, the noninteracting state $|\varphi_1\rangle$ shares the same density with Ψ_n; $|\varphi_1\rangle$ is the Kohn-Sham determinantal state when n is noninteracting v-representable.

Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between local potentials v_s and noninteracting ground states $|\varphi_1\rangle$ [1], Eq. (32) can be written as

$$v = v[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1, \tag{33}$$

where the right side indicates the one-to-one correspondence.

Substituting Eq. (33) into Eq. (30) gives

$$v_{\mathrm{xc}} = v_{\mathrm{xc}}[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1. \tag{34}$$

Denoting $v_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{KS}}$ the Kohn–Sham exchange-correlation potential [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 18], at least for non-interacting v-representable densities, we have

$$v_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{KS}}[n] = v_{\mathrm{xc}}[\varphi_1, v_s], \quad n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s, \quad v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1. \tag{35}$$

In order to obtain the density functional on the left side of Eq. (35), we need the functionals $\varphi_1[n]$ and $v_s[n]$. According the to Hohenberg-Kohn theorem [1, 2, 10], for noninteracting v-representable densities, these functionals exist. For other densities, however, these expressions must be generalized by, for example, using some modified constraint search definition, or, perhaps, by an approach that permits v_s to be nonlocal.

IV. THE KOHN–SHAM CORRELATION–ENERGY FUNCTIONAL AND EXCHANGE CORRELATION FUNCTIONAL

Using the notation form Eq. (4), the universal functional from the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem [1, 2, 10], can be written as

$$F[n] = \langle\Psi_n| \left(\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{ee}}\right) |\Psi_n\rangle; \quad n \longrightarrow N, v. \tag{36}$$

where Ψ_n is a singlet, ground state wave function. Previously we have shown that the correlation energy from many body perturbation theory [23, 24, 25] can be written as an explicit functional of v and ρ_1 [26]. In a similar manner, but using less restrictive energy denominators, the universal functionals F can be shown to be an explicit functional of v and ρ_1 [27], where this functional does not implicitly depend on ρ_1, i.e., any $|\rho_1\rangle$ that has considerable overlap with $|\Psi_n\rangle$ can be used as a reference state. So, we can write

$$F[n] = F[v, \rho_1], \;\; n \longrightarrow v, \;\; n, \rho_1 \longrightarrow N. \tag{37}$$

For noninteracting v-representable densities n, we can use Eq. (32), giving

$$F[n] = F[\varphi_1, v_s, \rho_1], \;\; n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s, \;\; v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1, \;\; \rho_1 \longrightarrow N. \tag{38}$$

Setting $\rho_1 = \varphi_1$, we get

$$F[n] = F[\varphi_1, v_s], \;\; n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s, \;\; v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1. \tag{39}$$

Hence, assuming the existence of the explicit functional, given by Eq. (37), Eq. (39) gives this functional as an explicit functional of v_s and φ_1, where v_s is the local, one-body potential from the Kohn–Sham equations, and φ_1 is the spinless one-particle density matrix from the Kohn–Sham noninteracting state $|\varphi_1\rangle$.

Using Eq. (39), the exchange-correlation functional from the Kohn-Sham formalism [18] is

$$E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{KS}}[n] = F[\varphi_1, v_s] - E_J[n] - \langle\varphi_1|\hat{T}|\varphi_1\rangle, \;\; n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s. \tag{40}$$

It is well know that the Kohn–Sham exchange functional is given by [2, 22]

$$E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{KS}}[n] \;=\; E_{\mathrm{x}}[\varphi_1], \;\; n \longrightarrow \varphi_1. \tag{41}$$

Hence, the Kohn–Sham correlation-energy functional is

$$E_{\mathrm{co}}[n]^{\mathrm{KS}} = F[\varphi_1, v_s] - \langle\varphi_1|\hat{T}|\varphi_1\rangle - \langle\varphi_1|\hat{V}_{\mathrm{ee}}|\varphi_1\rangle, \tag{42}$$

where

$$\langle\varphi_1|\hat{V}_{\mathrm{ee}}|\varphi_1\rangle = E_J[n] + E_{\mathrm{x}}[\varphi_1]. \tag{43}$$

APPENDIX A: THE KOHN–SHAM CORRELATION-ENERGY FUNCTIONAL FROM STATE–SPECIFIC KOHN–SHAM DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY

Consider the energy functional $E_v[\rho_1, v']$ that is obtained by permitting the external potential from the trial wave function, say v', to differ from the one from the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_v$; generalizing Eq. (1) in this way, we have

$$E_v[\rho_1, v'] = \frac{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1} | \hat{H}_v | \tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1} \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1} | \tilde{\Psi}_{v'\rho_1} \rangle}. \tag{A1}$$

and Eqs. (2), (3), and (9) become

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_{v'\varrho_1}\rangle \;=\; |\Psi_n\rangle; \;\; v' = v, \;\; \varrho_1 \longrightarrow n, \;\; n \longrightarrow N, v, \tag{A2}$$

$$E_v[\varrho_1, v] \;=\; \mathcal{E}_n. \tag{A3}$$

$$E_v[\rho_1, v'] = \mathcal{E}_1[\rho_1, v] + E_{\rm co}[\rho_1, v'] + \int d\mathbf{r}\, v(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\rho}_c[\rho_1, v'](\mathbf{r}), \tag{A4}$$

where we have explicitly mentioned the dependence of $\tilde{\rho}_c$ on ρ_1 and v', and the energy through the first order, $\mathcal{E}_1$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1[\rho_1, v] = \langle \rho_1 | H_v | \rho_1 \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 \; \left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\right]_{\mathbf{r}_2=\mathbf{r}_1} \qquad \text{(A5)} \\ + \int d\mathbf{r}_1\, v(\mathbf{r}_1)\rho(\mathbf{r}_1) + \frac{1}{2}\int\int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 r_{12}^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{r}_1)\, \rho(\mathbf{r}_2) - \frac{1}{4}\int\int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 r_{12}^{-1} \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\, \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Using Eq. (32), we have

$$E_v[\rho_1, v'[\varphi_1', v_s']] = \mathcal{E}_1[\rho_1, v] + E_{\rm co}[\rho_1, v'[\varphi_1', v_s']] + \int d\mathbf{r}\, v(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\rho}_c[\rho_1, v'[\varphi_1', v_s']](\mathbf{r}). \tag{A6}$$

Setting $\rho_1 = \varphi_1'$

$$E_v[\varphi_1', v_s'] = \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi_1', v] + E_{\rm co}[\varphi_1', v_s'], \tag{A7}$$

and suppressing the primes, we have

$$E_v[\varphi_1, v_s] = \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi_1, v] + E_{\rm co}[\varphi_1, v_s], \tag{A8}$$

where we used

$$\tilde{\rho}_c[\varphi_1', v'[\varphi_1', v_s']] = 0. \tag{A9}$$

Comparing Eq. (A8) with the energy functionals from the Kohn-Sham formalism, for non-interacting v-representable densities, we have

$$E_v^{\mathrm{KS}}[n] = E_v[\varphi_1, v_s], \tag{A10}$$

$$E_{\mathrm{co}}^{\mathrm{KS}}[n] = E_{\mathrm{co}}[\varphi_1, v_s], \tag{A11}$$

where $n \longrightarrow \varphi_1, v_s, \ \ v_s \longleftrightarrow \varphi_1$. As in $v_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{KS}}$, in order to obtain the above density functionals, we need $\varphi_1[n]$ and $v_s[n]$. Recall that it is well know that the Kohn–Sham exchange functional satisfies Eq. (41) [2, 22].

[1] R. M. Dreizler and E. K. U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory: An Approach to the Quantum Many-Body Problem* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, Hong Kong, Barcelona, 1990).

[2] R. G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).

[3] M. Springborg, ed., *Density Functional Methods in Chemistry and Material Science* (Wiley, Chichester, 1997).

[4] D. E. Ellis, ed., *Density Functional Methods of Molecules, Clusters, and Solids* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995).

[5] E. K. U. Gross and R. M. Dreizler, eds., *Density Functional Theory* (Plenum, New York, 1994).

[6] J. Seminario and P. Politzer, eds., *Modern Density Functional Theory. A Tool for Chemsitry* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995).

[7] N. C. Handy, in *Europe Summerschool in Quantum Chemistry,* B. O. Roos and P. -O. Widmark eds. (1997), 2nd ed., (see internet address www.teokem.lu.se/esqc).

[8] J. P. Finley, arXiv:physics/0506037 (2005).

[9] J. P. Finley, Phys. Rev. A (2005), (submitted).

[10] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136B**, 864 (1964).

[11] F. D. Sala and A. Görling, J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 5718 (2001).

[12] J. C. Slater, Phys. Rev. **81**, 385 (1951).

[13] M. K. Harbola and V. Sahni, J. Chem. Ed. **70**, 920 (1993).

[14] S. Hirata, S. Ivanov, I. Grabowski, R. Bartlett, K. Burke, and T. J, J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 1635 (2001).

[15] C. Fiolhais, F. Nogueira, and M. Marques, eds., *Lecture Notes in Physics: A primer on Density Functional Theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, NewYork, Barcelona, Hong Kong, London, Milan, Paris, Tokyo, 2003), (and references therein).

[16] J. B. Krieger, Y. Li, and G. J. Iafrate, Phys. Rev. A **45**, 101 (1992).

[17] Y. Li, J. B. Krieger, and G. J. Iafrate, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 165 (1993).

[18] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).

[19] M. Levy, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **13**, 89 (1978).

[20] M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **76**, 6062 (1979).

[21] M. Levy, Phys A **26**, 1200 (1982).

[22] M. Levy and J. P. Perdew, in *Density Functional Methods Physics,* Dreizler, R. M. and Providencia, J. da, eds., (Plenum, New York, 1985), pp. 11–30.

[23] I. Lindgren and J. Morrison, *Atomic Many-Body Theory*, Springer Series on Atoms and Plasmas (Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1986), 2nd ed.

[24] F. E. Harris, H. J. Monkhorst, and D. L. Freeman, *Algebraic and Diagrammatic Methods in Many-Fermion Theory* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1992).

[25] S. Raimes, *Many-Electron Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972).

[26] J. P. Finley, arXiv:physics/0308056 (2003).

[27] J. P. Finley (2005), (to be published).